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The National Military Family Association (NMFA) is the leading nonprofit dedicated to serving the families who stand behind the uniform. Since 1969, NMFA has worked to strengthen and protect millions of families through its advocacy and programs. We provide spouse scholarships, camps for military kids, and retreats for families reconnecting after deployment and for the families of the wounded, ill, or injured. NMFA serves the families of the currently serving, retired, wounded or fallen members of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Space Force, Coast Guard, and Commissioned Corps of the USPHS and NOAA.

Association Volunteers in military communities worldwide provide a direct link between military families and the Association staff in the Nation's capital. These volunteers are our “eyes and ears,” bringing shared local concerns to national attention.

The Association does not have or receive federal grants or contracts.

Our website is: www.MilitaryFamily.org.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The United States military is the most capable fighting force in the world. For almost two decades of war, service members and their families never failed to answer the call, steadfastly sacrificing to protect our nation – abroad and even now at home. They make these sacrifices trusting that our government will provide them with the tools to keep them ready. Continued national fiscal challenges have left military families confused and concerned about whether the programs and benefits contributing to their strength, resilience, and readiness will remain available to support them and be flexible enough to address emerging needs. The Department of Defense (DoD) must provide the level of programs and resources necessary to meet this standard. Service members and their families have kept trust with America through 18 years of war with multiple deployments and separations. Unfortunately, that trust continues to be tested.

We ask Congress:

As you evaluate proposals for changes to pay and benefits consider the cumulative impact on military families’ purchasing power and financial well-being, as well as the effects on the morale and readiness of the all-volunteer force now and in the future.

Please:

- Reject benefit changes and budget proposals that threaten military family financial well-being as a way to save money for the government.
- Keep military pay commensurate with service and aligned with private sector wages.
- Provide oversight to ensure recently enacted military health reform efforts enhance military families’ access to quality health care and that the costs of increasing military readiness are not passed along to families as cost shares or premiums which will degrade family readiness and potentially retention.

We ask Congress to make improving and sustaining the programs and resources necessary to keep military families ready a national priority.

We also ask Congress to:

- Provide oversight to ensure DoD and the individual Services are supporting families of all components by meeting the standards for deployment support, reintegration, financial readiness, and family health. Fund appropriately at all levels.
- Ensure military families are provided safe, high-quality housing.
- Ensure adequate funding for military child care programs, including child care fee assistance programs. Improve access to installation-based child care and increase availability of part-time and hourly care. Establish Dependent Care Flexible Spending accounts for members of the uniformed services.
- Facilitate easier paths to both licensure and employment for military spouses who are in the mental health field when they work with our service members and their families. Expand the MyCAA program to allow funds to be used toward obtaining supervision hours. Include military spouses who enter the mental health profession in federal loan-forgiveness programs.
- Preserve the savings military families receive by shopping at the commissary and oppose any reform measures that would reduce the value of the benefit.
- Require pediatricians in Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs) to screen patients for food insecurity and provide information about applying for WIC. Institute a basic needs allowance.
• Require DoD to study where military families with severe special needs are concentrated and appropriately allocate DoD Impact Aid for schools serving military children with severe disabilities.
• Ensure appropriate and timely funding of Impact Aid through the Department of Education (DoEd).
• Continue to authorize DoD Impact Aid for schools educating large numbers of military children and military children with severe special needs.
• Bring the Extended Care Health Option (ECHO) benefits on par with State Medicaid waiver programs and extend ECHO eligibility for one year following separation.
• Authorize an open enrollment period for the Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP). Allow remarried surviving spouses access to the online systems to manage their children's appointments, referrals, prescription refills and communication with their medical providers.

After 18 years of war, we continue to see the impact of repeated deployments and separations on our service members and their families. We appreciate Congress' recognition of the service and sacrifice of these families. Your response through legislation to the ever-changing need for support has resulted in programs and policies that have helped sustain our families through these difficult times.
PAY AND COMPENSATION

We appreciate Congress making the pay raise at Employment Cost Index (ECI) a priority in the Fiscal Year 2020 National Defense Authorization Act (FY20 NDAA). Congress chose the Employment Cost Index (ECI) as the standard for active duty pay raises in order to recruit and retain the quality of service members needed to sustain the all-volunteer force and we thank you for meeting that standard this year.

Although the last four years have seen military pay raises at the ECI, reductions to service member housing allowances, increased health care costs, and the new requirement under the Blended Retirement System for new service members to contribute to their retirement savings lower service member take-home pay. We ask you to consider the cumulative effects of these policies on military families’ financial well-being and reject any proposals that ask families to shoulder a greater financial burden.

We believe that Basic Allowance for Housing (BAH) is an essential component of military compensation. We urge Congress to return BAH rates to cover 100 percent of housing costs and oppose any changes that threaten to reduce military families’ pay.

We ask Congress to keep military pay commensurate with service and aligned with private sector wage increases.

We ask Congress to reject budget proposals or benefit changes that threaten military family financial well-being as a way to achieve savings for DoD.

MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM

One year into Military Health System (MHS) reform, results are decidedly skewed toward Department of Defense (DoD) cost savings versus MHS improvements for military families. Families are paying significantly more out-of-pocket due to increased copays generating approximately $166 million, in one year, in savings to DoD. Beneficiaries are also seeking less care as evidenced by DoD savings from lower than expected health care utilization. DoD is reprogramming these savings to pay for readiness – in fact, some of the money has been used for night vision goggles. Military families want their service members to be fully trained and equipped for their missions, but we believe it is wrong to diminish the value of the health care benefit to cover readiness costs. Service members and their families already make extraordinary sacrifices. Should they also have to pay for their own night vision goggles with higher health care fees?

What have families experienced during MHS Reform year one? Military families are grateful for referral-free civilian urgent care as they now have access to care when their military treatment facilities (MTFs) are full or closed. However, families have seen few other improvements across the system. In fact, TRICARE contractor transition problems plagued families throughout the entire year with customer service challenges and rampant claims processing problems. Within the direct care system, there were few noticeable improvements to administrative hurdles or the patient experience. Although we recognize the primary objective of MHS reform was cost savings, we had hoped the higher out-of-pocket costs would be used for improvements across the system to address military family complaints. Instead, families are paying considerably more for the same broken system.
To help balance military families’ higher out-of-pocket costs with improvements to their health care system, we ask Congress and DoD to:

- Modify the TRICARE Annual Open Enrollment/Qualifying Life Events policy to prevent military families from becoming trapped in MTFs that don’t meet their needs
- Reduce copays for mental health visits and physical, speech and occupational therapies
- Allow valid TRICARE Prime specialty care referrals to transfer to the new duty station during a Permanent Change of Station (PCS)
- Implement the Defense Health Board’s recommendation to broaden TRICARE’s definition of pediatric medical necessity
- Ensure military family perspectives are considered as MTF management is transitioned to the Defense Health Agency (DHA) and direct care system right-sizing is implemented
- Monitor and provide oversight on the TRICARE Dental Plan (TDP) to Federal Employees Dental & Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP) transition
- Require DoD to develop and publish performance metrics to evaluate Exceptional Family Member Program (EFMP) assignment coordination effectiveness
- Align TRICARE Extended Care Health Option (ECHO) respite coverage with Medicaid waiver programs

**TRICARE Program**

**TRICARE Annual Open Enrollment Period/Qualifying Life Events**

We remain concerned about the annual open enrollment period’s potential to trap TRICARE Prime families in MTFs that don’t meet their needs and request that “dissatisfaction with MTF access or quality of care” be added to the list of Qualifying Life Events (QLEs).

We realize the annual open enrollment period is a feature of civilian plans and generally have no issues with this new requirement. However, TRICARE Prime’s reliance on military hospitals and clinics creates a situation unique to the military and demands a policy tailored to military family needs for the following reasons:

- For commercial health plans, the annual enrollment period locks in beneficiaries to coverage levels, not a single medical facility. While an annual enrollment period is not unreasonable, preventing military families from leaving their MTF if they experience problems with appointment access or quality of care is unreasonable.

- Given the variability in access, quality of care, and the patient experience across the direct system, many military families cannot make an informed choice about their TRICARE plan during the Open Enrollment Period or following a QLE, such as a PCS move. A family may have no problems getting appointments at one MTF but find it very difficult to get appointments at their new duty station’s MTF. MTF access to care can also vary over time as providers come and go, making an informed decision nearly impossible.

- As direct care system right-sizing progresses, it will be even more difficult for families to make informed choices about their TRICARE plan. The Womack pediatric inpatient unit and Langley maternity ward closures dramatically changed care options for families assigned to
these MTFs. These changes were not communicated during open season, so families were unable to choose their TRICARE plan with this information in mind.

- Allowing families to switch enrollment from Prime to Select provides an important aspect of MTF accountability. Analyzing enrollment changes from Prime to Select will afford the MHS an opportunity to understand why families leave. It should also allow the MHS to identify problematic MTFs and target solutions to local access and quality of care problems.

The FY17 NDAA gives DoD discretion in defining QLEs. We believe one potential solution is to include “dissatisfaction with MTF access or quality of care” as a qualifying life event. We are open to other ideas and stand by to assist in developing a solution that prevents military families from becoming trapped in underperforming MTFs.

**Increased TRICARE Copays**

Premium-free health care is an important component of service members’ compensation and benefits package. It is an extraordinary benefit commensurate with the extraordinary risks and sacrifices associated with military service. It also ensures all military families have access to health care, a critical driver of military family readiness. However, we know decisions about seeking care are often driven by out-of-pocket costs at point of service. In fact, value-based insurance design is built on the principle of reducing cost-related non-adherence. Given the important role copays play in patient decision-making, we are disappointed and alarmed at the careless approach used in establishing copays during TRICARE reform efforts.

The new TRICARE copay construct categorizes mental health outpatient visits, as well as physical, speech and occupational therapies, as specialty care. This results in copays that are excessively high for relatively low-cost visits. **We urge DoD and/or Congress to establish more reasonable copays for mental health visits and physical, speech and occupational therapies** to bring them in line with high quality commercial plans and reduce the cost barrier to seeking care.

- TRICARE’s mental health copays are not only a significant increase compared to 2017, they are also higher than out-of-pocket costs for mental health care under Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) program national preferred provider option (PPO) plans. This means military families struggling with the impact of 18 years of war are paying more for their mental health care visits than federal employees.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Care Plan</th>
<th>Mental Health Visit Copay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Select ADFMs – Group A</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Select ADFMs – Group B</td>
<td>$26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Select Retirees – Group A</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Select Retirees – Group B</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Prime Retirees – Group A</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRICARE Prime Retirees – Group B</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCBS Service Benefit Plan – Standard</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEHA Benefit Plan – High*</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEHA Benefit Plan - Standard*</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NALC – High Option*</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHBP – Standard*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child under 21</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMBA – High*</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APWU – High*</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These plans have no deductible for network mental health outpatient visits

- For many years, DoD has acknowledged the importance of seeking mental health care. Numerous studies have shown that military kids are at higher risk for emotional and behavioral problems and that risk increases as cumulative months of deployment increase. Unfortunately for families, mental health struggles do not disappear after the service member separates or retires from the military. **We are appalled by TRICARE copays that discourage military families from accessing mental health care.**
“They (my children) need therapy to deal with living with the effects of war. But one thing that happened recently though was we had to cut our son’s therapy in half because TRICARE doubled our copay. So he’s not getting the amount of mental health care and our daughter can’t get anything beyond what she’s just getting at the TBI clinic because we just can’t pay for it.”  
-Jacqueline, spouse of medically retired soldier/caregiver

- We are concerned about how the dramatically higher copays for physical, speech and occupational therapy will impact patient adherence to treatment plans. DoD acknowledges Defense Health Program spending was significantly lower than expected in FY18 due, in part, to a drop-in utilization. What happens to long term costs when untreated conditions progress and eventually require more expensive treatments such as surgery?

“We had to tell the therapists that we would be diligent about our at-home therapy but that I would not be coming in for the recommended visits due to copays.”  
-Karen, Military Spouse

- Families are now paying a significant percentage of these relatively low-cost visits out-of-pocket reducing the value of TRICARE benefit.

“My 5-year old son receives weekly speech therapy sessions. My copay is $31 per session and the TRICARE allowable charge is $49 which means I am paying over half of my son’s therapy costs.”  
-Jennifer, Army Spouse

We understand the value of the TRICARE benefit grew dramatically as health care costs rose and TRICARE out-of-pocket costs remained flat. Service members and their families also understand this. Congress and DoD had the chance to modestly, and predictably, increase fees since TRICARE’s inception. Understandably, it was politically unpalatable to increase military family health care costs while sending hundreds of thousands of service members to war. After several years of combat deployments, with no end in sight, service members and their families made and continue to make incredibly difficult “stay in” or “get out” decisions. For many, a big part of that decision was the financial protection and health benefits provided by military pay and benefits, including TRICARE.

“One of the main reasons my husband decided to continue on active duty was health care. Our oldest son has food allergies, he has severe asthma, and it was one of the reasons he decided to stay in the military at the 10-year point, you know that’s a big decision point. We had just found out about the severe food allergies and the asthma and I was pregnant with our second child and we had also just found out that my oldest has severe myopia as well and I was pregnant with my second and my thought was what if he has it too? What do we do?”  
-Megan, Army Spouse/Caregiver

Two years after deciding to remain in the Army at the 10-year mark, largely due to the TRICARE benefit, Megan’s husband deployed to Afghanistan and was shot by an Afghan National Army officer he was training. He lost his right leg above the
knee due to vascular damage but eventually returned to active duty and is now within 2 years of retirement.

Working-age retiree health benefits remain under scrutiny. As Congress continues discussions about appropriate out-of-pocket costs for military retirees, we urge you to ask the Services for deployment data for those active duty members who have served 15+ years, and those who have retired within the past several years. As former Secretary of Defense Mattis pointed out, deployments have not been evenly distributed across the force, but our lived experience suggests there are a substantial percentage of career service members who served multiple combat tours.

Deployment data will only begin to capture the wide variety of sacrifices our service members and military families made over the last two decades – the Navy officer who missed six Christmases with his young children due to deployments, the Army family who experienced an unaccompanied Korea tour immediately followed by a one year combat deployment to Iraq, the soldiers on their way home from Iraq who were turned around and sent back to the combat zone when Army deployments were lengthened to 15 months, the Lieutenant Colonel who was called to take over a command and deploy immediately when a Battalion Commander in Iraq was critically wounded by an IED. Since September 11, 2001, our Nation has placed unprecedented demands on the all-volunteer force and career service members endured despite the risks and sacrifices. Is a cut to their health care benefit the appropriate way to respond to a group that remained steadfast through the last 18 years of war?

Lack of TRICARE Coverage for Emerging Technologies and Treatment Protocols

Health care is in a period of rapid change and innovation. Since TRICARE coverage policies are governed by statute, they are often difficult to update to cover new medical technologies or treatment protocols. We appreciate that Congress gave DoD the authority to cover emerging technologies in the FY15 NDAA. However, DoD seems reluctant to exert that authority. Many military families are now paying more out-of-pocket for their health care, yet TRICARE coverage policies have not kept up with medical innovations. We urge Congress and DoD to fix TRICARE coverage gaps for emerging technologies and evolving treatment protocols.

Diagnostic genetic testing is a prime example of TRICARE’s failure to keep pace with medical advancements. While the TRICARE demonstration project slowly evaluates individual genetic tests, covering only a small fraction of tests approved under commercial plans and Medicaid, children’s hospitals have moved on to doing genetic panels (e.g., seizure panel, connective tissue panel) and whole exome sequencing to produce higher yields of diagnoses for children with unexplained physical anomalies or other symptoms of a genetic condition. Why is a diagnosis important for families? Diagnostic certainty can impact medical management of a patient’s condition. It can help families develop realistic expectations and plan for the future. A diagnosis is also required for families to obtain resources, such as Medicaid, to assist with managing their child’s condition. Taking years to review and evaluate diagnostic genetic tests that have widespread acceptance, use, and reimbursement in the medical community and commercial insurance plans is unacceptable.

---

Barriers to Transferring TRICARE Prime Specialty Care During PCS

Military families recognize they must sacrifice continuity of medical care because of the highly mobile military lifestyle. Unfortunately, TRICARE policy hinders rather than facilitates the transition of care during permanent change of station (PCS) moves. Established specialty care requires a new referral at each new duty station – even for chronic conditions (e.g., Type 1 Diabetes) where on-going specialty care is undoubtedly required. To re-establish their specialty care, newly relocated military families must first have an appointment with a new Primary Care Manager and get a new referral processed resulting in delays and disruptions in care. **We ask Congress for legislation that requires TRICARE to allow valid specialty care referrals to transfer to the new duty station during a Permanent Change of Station (PCS).**

My daughter has an extremely rare syndrome that has several rare diseases that fall under it. PCSing is always a troubling time in our family, even if we move to an area with every specialist she needs, because we are put into a situation where we can’t have her medical specialists set up at our incoming location for IMMEDIATE care. We wait to be enrolled in our new region, we wait for an appointment to see our new PCM, and then we wait for her PCM to refer us to, more often than not, outside civilian specialists. Most of the time there’s at least a 3 to 6 month wait for the specialists to see new patients, and that’s on top of the weeks that have already passed waiting to get in to see the new PCM and waiting for your referrals. Two of our last three PCSs, we ended up in the emergency room with life threatening complications/illness and no specialists who were familiar with her history and her diseases.

In Section 701 of the FY17 NDAA, Congress eliminated the specialty care preauthorization requirement for outpatient care. We welcome this attempt to streamline access to specialty care, but it is only a partial solution. Allowing a valid referral to transfer to the new duty station would greatly help military families with the timely transition of specialty care. It would also eliminate unnecessary appointments to obtain new referrals and reduce the health care disruptions inherent in PCS moves.

Pediatric Definition of Medical Necessity

TRICARE’s reliance on Medicare reimbursement methodologies, a program designed for seniors, means TRICARE policy is sometimes a poor fit for pediatric care. Fortunately, most military children are healthy and their families won’t encounter major TRICARE reimbursement issues due to their minimal use of the program. For those families with special needs children, however, TRICARE policy can mean administrative or financial burdens on top of their child’s health care needs and the demands of military service. Due to their small numbers and the wide variety of TRICARE policy problems they encounter, we will seldom see a large public outcry from these families to fix a single issue. We need a mechanism to address the wide variety and evolving nature of the gaps between Medicare policy and pediatric care needs. Every year we hear about new instances where TRICARE failed to meet the needs of military kids. For example:

“I wanted to let you know about a military family I recently met who had a problem with medical care overseas. Their 4-year old daughter contracted a virus and was an inpatient at a civilian hospital in Germany for several weeks before she passed away. While she was hospitalized her mom slept in the hospital room with her, not realizing that German hospitals – unlike U.S. hospitals – charge a “rooming in” fee. I
believe the fee was 75 euros per night, so the total expense was quite large. The service member’s unit took up a collection to pay the bill. U.S. hospitals encourage parents to sleep in the hospital room with their child. Shouldn’t TRICARE cover something like this?”

-Jenna, Navy Spouse

International SOS, the TRICARE Overseas contractor, published a reminder on this issue in their provider newsletter with the following recommended action for overseas providers.

Institutional providers should make parents aware, if they wish to stay overnight to accompany their child, TRICARE will not cover the charges and the parent will be issued an invoice to pay the hospital for associated lodging costs, before the child is discharged.

-International SOS Provider Newsletter, March 2018

This does not really address the issue for parents and could, in fact, increase distress or present parents with a terrible choice to either leave their child alone at night or face significant charges.

Another example:

“My child recently had a VCUG, a test that is very difficult for the child because it involves a catheter and voiding on the exam table to assess bladder/kidney function. Her physician recommended partial sedation during the test, but TRICARE did not cover it. Why would TRICARE not cover something my daughter’s doctor recommended? She may need to have this test done again in the future, so we didn’t want her to have a traumatic experience during it.”

-Karen, Army Spouse

The voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG) is used to diagnose a number of bladder conditions. It is a procedure performed mainly on infants and young children. An NIH article\(^2\) reported that most unsedated children experience an unacceptable level of distress (serious or severe distress or panic) during the VCUG that could be avoided with sedation. Just because Medicare does not have a reimbursement policy for sedation during this procedure (and many other pediatric procedures) does not mean sedation is not the right course of action for pediatric patients.

We believe a pediatric definition of medical necessity is the best way to address TRICARE’S wide variety and evolving pediatric coverage gaps. After our Association, together with the TRICARE for Kids Coalition, repeatedly raised this issue at Military Family Readiness Council meetings, senior DoD leadership requested the Defense Health Board (DHB) to examine opportunities to improve the overall provision of health care and related services for children of members of the Armed Forces. The July 2016 report request specifically directed the DHB to:

Address any issues associated with the TRICARE definition of “medical necessity” as it might specifically pertain to children and determine if the requirement for TRICARE to comply with Medicare standards disadvantages children from receiving needed health care.

\(^2\) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2443423/
The DHB *Pediatric Health Care Services Report*³ was released December 18, 2017. The report documented TRICARE is out of step with commercial plans and Medicaid and concluded TRICARE’s current definition of medical necessity disadvantages children from receiving some needed services. The DHB recommended the MHS:

Modify the administrative interpretation of the regulatory language in 32 Code of Federal Regulations 199.2 to broaden the use of the “hierarchy of reliable evidence” for the benefit of pediatric beneficiaries. Exclusions to the hierarchy described under “reliable evidence” in 32 Code of Federal Regulations 199.2 should not preclude pediatric services (a) meeting definitions of medical necessity used broadly in civilian practice or (b) recommended by recognized medical organizations.

Unfortunately, the DoD’s December 2018 Report to Armed Services Committees, *The Plan to Improve Pediatric Care and Related Services for Children of Members of the Armed Forces*⁴, announced DoD is not planning to develop a uniform definition of pediatric medical necessity and presented no alternative plan to address pediatric care coverage gaps. *We ask Congress to urge DoD to implement the Defense Health Board’s recommendation to broaden TRICARE’s definition of pediatric medical necessity.* Fixing TRICARE’s reimbursement problems related to pediatric care is an essential part of the TRICARE reform effort.

**DIRECT CARE SYSTEM/MILITARY HOSPITALS AND CLINICS**

During the MHS reform process, our Association detailed challenges military families face within the Direct Care system, including MTF appointment shortages and scheduling hurdles, variable quality and safety across the Direct Care system, and policies and patient experiences that vary greatly across MTFs. As reform efforts continue, we hope DHA and the Services maintain a focus on addressing these challenges.

While we also support MHS reform intended to right-size the Direct Care system, with the Services retaining only service member care that directly contributes to the readiness mission, we urge DHA to ensure access for beneficiaries who must transition care to the private sector as a result. If right-sizing includes specialty care consolidation into a handful of military medical centers of excellence, we trust military family preferences will be considered when determining where families will obtain specialty care.

**TRICARE DENTAL PROGRAM FOR ACTIVE DUTY FAMILY MEMBERS TRANSITION TO FEDVIP**

We appreciate Congress and committee staff listened to our concerns about the reduced quality and value of the TRICARE Dental Program (TDP) following the transition to United Concordia in May 2017. Thank you for expanding Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP) eligibility to active duty family members, while maintaining DoD’s premium contribution, in the

---

³ Defense Health Board *Pediatric Health Care Services Report* – December 18, 2017  
FY19 NDAA. We believe FEDVIP will provide military families with a variety of coverage levels to meet their needs and allow them to choose a plan with sufficient network providers in their geographic area.

We have been working closely with DHA, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) and the contractors during the TRICARE Retiree Dental Program transition to FEDVIP. We have reviewed lessons learned from that process and stand by to assist with the more complicated transition from TDP to FEDVIP. **We request Congress monitor and provide oversight on the TDP to FEDVIP transition.**

**SPECIAL MILITARY FAMILIES**

**Exceptional Family Member Program (EFMP) Assignment Coordination**

Military families complain EFMP assignment coordination is not thorough. Some say they are sent to areas with insufficient medical or educational assets to meet their needs. In other cases, providers exist, but long waitlists preclude access. This seems to be a problem for families with children on the autism spectrum at Joint Base Lewis-McChord (JBLM). Many families being sent to JBLM report long wait lists for therapies even with the opening of the Center for Autism Resources, Education and Services (JBLM CARES.) **We ask Congress to require DoD to develop and publish performance metrics to evaluate assignment coordination effectiveness to include evaluation of capacity of the available medical services and therapies and not just a yes/no availability.**

Our Association believes there needs to be more transparency in the assignment coordination process. Assignment coordinators need to provide more explanation to service members when they are not screened for an assignment. It is not uncommon to hear from families that they did not screen for an overseas assignment, but they know someone else with a similar diagnosis in their desired location. There are many reasons why families could be denied – possibly that health care specialty is at capacity or a provider has recently transferred from the duty station. Without a proper explanation, the family makes assumptions and then present the assumptions as fact.

Service members also need to be more transparent in the assignment coordination process. In a recent report on the well-being of military families, the National Academies of Sciences referenced the Department of Defense Exceptional Family Member Benchmark Study (Bronfenbrenner Center for Translational Research, 2013) indicating that, “military families enrolled in the EFMP expressed concerns regarding stigma surrounding special needs family members and military career advancement.”

Families have told us they’ve reduced school services for their child so they can go to their choice location. Some service members have moved their families overseas without command sponsorship because they were told there wasn’t adequate medical or educational services in their gaining location. Some service members don’t enroll their family members in the EFMP, even though enrollment is mandatory for active duty service members with a family member with special needs, because they are concerned it will hurt their career progression. While service members may not be able to have it all, open communication may allow them to have a long and satisfying military career while their family has access to the proper educational and medical supports and resources along the way.

---

A May 2018 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report *DoD Should Improve Its Oversight of the Exceptional Family Member Program*\(^6\), indicates each Service uses various mechanisms to monitor how service members are assigned to installations, but the report contains no details on how the individual Services are monitoring assignment coordination effectiveness. We agree with GAO’s recommendation that the Office of Special Needs (OSN) develop performance metrics for assignment coordination, specifically:

- OSN should develop common assignment coordination performance metrics across the Services. Metrics should include measures of military family satisfaction with the assignment coordination process focused on the ability to obtain necessary medical care at the gaining installation.
- Metrics should track compassionate reassignments/off schedule PCS moves due to inadequate medical resources at the gaining installation for EFMP families that were approved for that location. Compassionate reassignments of this nature indicate system failure and should be monitored to identify and address process breakdowns.
- Metrics should be reported at the installation level to provide actionable information.

**TRICARE Extended Care Health Option (ECHO)**

We appreciate DoD’s August 2018 proposed rule\(^7\) eliminating the concurrent ECHO benefit requirement. This would allow beneficiaries enrolled in ECHO to receive respite care regardless of whether another ECHO benefit is received in the same month. We are grateful the proposed rule eliminates this barrier to ECHO respite services. While eliminating the concurrent ECHO benefit requirement is a step in the right direction, we ask Congress to expand ECHO respite care hours to align more closely with state Medicaid Waiver programs to ensure special needs military families receive adequate support.

Medicaid Waiver programs provide long-term care services in home- and community-based settings to those who would otherwise require care in an institutional environment. Many states have lengthy waitlists for their Medicaid Waiver programs leaving military families unable to access services when they PCS from one state to another before reaching the top of the waitlist.

“I have two special needs children and have never been able to access Medicaid services till our recent assignment. When we move out of state this summer, we will again lose services. In 9 years, we have received only 9 months of Medicaid waiver services due to frequent military moves. The process takes so long each time we PCS. It is really discouraging.”

-Peggy, Navy Spouse

Congress established TRICARE’s Extended Care Health Option (ECHO) as a substitute for state Medicaid Waiver services that are often unavailable to mobile military families. Medicaid Waiver program services should serve as the benchmark for ECHO covered services. However, ECHO currently falls short, relative to Medicaid waiver services, particularly in terms of respite care.

---

The Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission (MCRMC) validated this issue in their 2015 report and recommended ECHO covered services be increased to align with state Medicaid Waiver programs more closely. The MCRMC’s state-by-state Medicaid Waiver analysis showed the average state Medicaid Waiver provides 695 respite hours per year while ECHO provides only 192 respite hours annually.

While the proposed rule eliminating the concurrent ECHO benefit requirement is a helpful first step, we believe it is important for DoD to further address ECHO deficiencies by increasing the total number of respite hours available to families. The current level of 16 hours per month disadvantages military families relative to state Medicaid Waiver recipients. The low number of ECHO authorized respite hours also presents a barrier to receiving any respite care, since many families report difficulties finding a respite provider willing to work with them given the low number of hours involved. Managed care support contractors verify that many home health agencies don’t want to play in intermittent, low hours care.

To ensure that military families’ higher out-of-pocket costs result in improvements to their health care system, we ask Congress and DoD to:

- Reduce copays for mental health visits and physical, speech and occupational therapies
- Allow valid TRICARE Prime specialty care referrals to transfer to the new duty station during a Permanent Change of Station (PCS)
- Implement the Defense Health Board’s recommendation to broaden TRICARE’s definition of pediatric medical necessity
- Require DoD to develop and publish performance metrics to evaluate Exceptional Family Member Program (EFMP) assignment coordination effectiveness
- Align TRICARE Extended Care Health Option (ECHO) respite coverage with Medicaid waiver programs

DEFENSE RESALE

Our Association has long viewed the commissary as an essential element of military compensation. Families agree, telling us often over the years that the commissary – and the savings families realize when shopping – is one of their most valued benefits. However, in any discussion of defense resale, there is no ignoring the elephant in the room: commissary sales have been declining for years, and the trend shows no sign of reversing.

To be fair, much of the sales decline is most likely due to factors outside the Defense Commissary Agency’s (DeCA’s) control. Both the retail landscape and the military lifestyle have changed dramatically in recent years, making it increasingly difficult for the commissary to compete. The market is dominated by big box, low cost stores such as Costco and Wal-Mart, while Amazon offers convenience to shoppers who prefer online ordering and door delivery. At the same time, more military families are choosing to live off base, meaning the commissary is not always the most convenient place to shop.

It’s also true that DeCA does not have all the tools that a typical retailer can use to increase revenue. For example, there’s little it can do to expand its customer base – although the extension of

8 https://docs.house.gov/meetings/AS/AS00/20150204/102859/HHRG-114-AS00-20150204-SD001.pdf
commissary privileges to Purple Heart and Medal of Honor recipients as well as veterans with service-connected disabilities is a welcome step in that direction. It has limited ability to advertise and can't close under-performing locations. Those steps that DeCA has taken to increase efficiency and appeal to customers, such as introducing private label products, have not yet led to increased sales.

Although fewer military families seem to be taking advantage of their commissary benefit, it remains vital to many, especially those stationed overseas or in remote locations, as well as families who are struggling financially. We are grateful Congress has shown a commitment to preserving the benefit, including mandating in law that DeCA meet savings targets. We request Congress to appoint stable DeCA leadership and continue close oversight as the commissary continues implementing new business practices.

Like the commissary, the Service Exchanges play a vital role in the military community, providing essential services and helping fund Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) programs. That funding is especially important as the Services face increased pressure to redirect MWR funds toward readiness.

In 2019, DoD released a business case analysis, which concluded that significant efficiencies could be realized through consolidation of the commissary and Exchanges. We have serious concerns about this proposal and were pleased that the FY20 NDAA included a GAO review of the study. We recognize the need for efficiency and acknowledge that combining some functions could reduce operating costs, but it’s also true that there are costs associated with consolidation. Where will that money come from? The cost of consolidation must not come at the expense of MWR funding, commissary savings, or services offered by the Exchanges. Any proposal to change the defense resale system must ensure the programs, services and savings military families rely on are preserved.

The defense resale system is complex and merging its disparate elements will be difficult and present some risk. We urge caution before embarking on wholesale change to a system relied upon by service members and their families. At a minimum, Congress should ensure the voices of all stakeholders are heard – leadership of DeCA and the Exchanges, industry partners, and – most importantly – patrons.

**WHAT DO TODAY’S MILITARY FAMILIES NEED TO ENSURE READINESS?**

It has often been said while the military recruits a service member, it must retain a family. Our Association has long argued in order to build and maintain the quality force our nation demands, the military must support service members as they balance the competing demands of military service and family life. We urge Congress to strengthen the programs and services available to support all troops and families in diminishing uncertainty and meeting the daily challenges of military life.

We thank Congress for providing military families with greater flexibility in timing their relocation either before or after a service member’s permanent change of station (PCS) report date in the FY18 NDAA. We are anxious to see how the Services implement this new policy and will monitor whether it minimizes the upheaval associated with moving.
Yet, budget issues have increased stress and anxiety for families facing a military-ordered move. The military must evolve to meet the needs of today’s military families, but it needs a predictable budget and appropriation to do so.

**Privatized Military Housing and The Defense Personal Property Program**

Last year we heard from thousands of military families who have endured deplorable conditions within privatized military housing. We were encouraged, and thankful Congress has taken the first steps to address the situation in the FY20 NDAA. However, we continue to hear from families about problems with privatized housing. Sadly, families have started to sue the privatized housing contractors and Services because of inaction.

*We urge Congress and the Services to move forward with improved oversight and management of the contractors and housing officials responsible for these conditions which have, and continue to, affect the health, safety and wellbeing of service members and their families.*

Last year, families experienced a perfect storm during the permanent change of station (PCS) season - a shortage of transportation service providers (TSPs), personal property damaged by mold and reduced housing inventory plagued installations across the country. We heard from families whose household goods were left in the front yard because the TSP found mold and wouldn’t load it into their trucks for fear of contamination. When families did get to their new duty station, houses weren’t available because they were waiting for mold remediation or pest extermination.

Our Association is very concerned. We appreciate the report on the Defense Personal Property Improvement Plan and GAO Business Case analysis included in the FY20 NDAA. However, military families cannot afford for DoD and the Services to drop the ball on oversight of a privatized contract again. *Congress must ensure military families are protected when DoD and the Services decide they no longer want to be in the moving business.*

**Child Care**

Military families often tell us that finding and gaining access to high-quality, affordable child care is one of their biggest challenges. In part, of course, this reflects a national shortage of affordable child care options. The demographics of the military family community make the issue particularly acute. Two-thirds of the more than 1.6 million military-connected children are under the age of twelve and the largest cohort – nearly 38 percent – is under age five.⁹

DoD is to be commended for recognizing the importance of ensuring military families have access to high-quality, affordable child care and for taking concrete steps to provide this care. Its facilities are usually top-notch and offer curricula developed by experts in early childhood education. In addition, it provides admirable training and professional development opportunities to CDC employees and care providers in its network of Family Child Care (FCC) homes. It developed a single website, MilitaryChildCare.com, to provide better information about on-base child care.

---

⁹ 2018 Demographics: Profile of the Military Community,
options and allow parents to seek a space for their child in advance of a PCS move. In response to concerns that lengthy background check requirements were leading to hiring delays and staff shortages, DoD implemented procedures to speed the process while still ensuring the safety of children in their care.

Yet, despite these efforts, gaps remain. Families still complain of long waiting lists, especially overseas and at larger joint bases. These waiting lists don’t even tell the full story. Many families who are faced with a waiting list of six months or more are forced to look elsewhere for child care.

DoD will never be able to meet the child care needs of every military family. However, access to quality, affordable child care is essential to military readiness. The unique challenges of military life – distance from extended family who might otherwise assist with care, long hours and overnight shifts – often mean seeking care in the civilian community isn’t feasible. Military families will continue to look to DoD to meet their child care needs, and DoD must continue to do more.

While the issue of military child care may appear to be intractable, there are several steps DoD could take to address the problem:

*Increase participation in the fee assistance program:* The fee assistance program operated by the Services is an innovative, effective approach to the problem of insufficient child care availability on base. The program helps offset the cost of child care in the civilian community, ensuring participating families can access high quality care at an affordable cost. Unfortunately, relatively few families take advantage of this benefit. Expanding participation in the child care fee assistance program would address many families’ child care needs.

One reason why relatively few military families participate in the fee assistance program is a lack of eligible providers. DoD has stringent requirements for child care providers participating in the fee assistance program, to include accreditation, regular inspections, and background checks. However, many states have less stringent requirements for providers. In those locations, families often have difficulty locating a provider who meets DoD’s eligibility requirements. The Office of Military Community and Family Policy and the Defense State Liaison Office (DSLO) have worked together to encourage states to increase their standards to meet DoD’s and have had a great deal of success in this regard. We encourage them to continue with this effort. We also encourage DoD to consider ways it could broaden the pool of providers eligible to participate in the program while still maintaining its commitment to high quality care.

The second, more pressing reason why few families take advantage of the fee assistance program is simply a lack of DoD funds. Navy families reported for months the Navy’s fee assistance program was not even accepting new families to its waiting list due to lack of available funds. We urge the Services to direct more resources to this program which is essential to many families and relieves pressure on installation child care services.

*Establish Dependent Care Flexible Spending Accounts:* Establishing Dependent Care Flexible Spending Accounts (FSA) is an innovative method to help ease the burden of child care expenses on military families. The federal government defines “affordable child care” as no more than 7% of family income. Members of the uniformed services should be eligible for the dependent care flexible spending accounts afforded to civilian DoD personnel. The pre-tax, reimbursable benefit is already available to most civilian DoD personnel.
and many other federal employees. The majority of federal employers offer employees eligibility through FSAFEDS or their own reimbursable FSA program. FSAFEDS, or a similar program, could be utilized to support service members, at little or no cost to DoD. DoD has authority to implement FSAs but has elected not to do so. We believe this is a quality of life, retention, and readiness issue for our service members. **We urge the Service secretaries to establish procedures to implement flexible spending arrangements on a pre-tax basis to offset the financial burden of child and adult care services.**

**Analyze role of FCC Homes:** For many years, child care providers who offered care in their on-installation homes were an important part of the military child care system. These providers receive training and professional development from DoD much like that given to CDC employees and must comply with stringent DoD inspections and background checks. They provide a flexible care option for parents whose schedules don’t work with CDC hours and offer employment opportunities for military spouses. However, the number of FCC Homes has been declining for years. DoD should survey current providers as well as those who leave the program to assess why fewer people are offering this service and what, if anything, could be done to attract and retain in-home care providers.

Part of the problem may be that if an FCC provider moves and no longer lives on an installation, she or he is subject to the licensing requirements of the state. Given DoD’s stringent requirements, we expect FCC providers would meet or exceed most states’ requirements for licensing an in-home day care. For that reason, we suggest DoD and the DSLO work with states to expedite licensing for approved FCC providers, so they can quickly reopen their in-home day care in their new location.

**Increase availability of part-time and hourly care:** We hear from many military families frustrated by the lack of hourly or drop-in care at installation CDCs. Many military families – especially those overseas or in remote locations – do not have easy access to reliable caregivers. For those families, access to drop-in care at an installation child care facility can greatly enhance their quality of life, enabling parents to go to medical appointments, run errands, and volunteer in their communities. This service can be especially vital when a service member is deployed, providing the at-home parent with a much-needed break. Increasing the number of hourly slots would also help address a common conundrum faced by military spouses after a PCS move. We also recognize that hourly slots reduce capacity for full-time care within the fixed capacity of a CDC, without expansion of the facility. DoD should evaluate the innovative programs at installation CDCs, or on the installation, to ensure the mix of care offered – full time, part-time and hourly – meets the needs of the families they serve. One such solution could be developing partnerships with non-governmental organizations that are willing to offer hourly child care on the installation. We have seen that this model has worked well at installations such as Camp Pendleton.

**MILITARY FAMILIES AND FOOD INSECURITY**

The 2017 Survey of Active Duty Spouses (2017 ADSS) conducted by the DoD reported 11 percent of active duty spouses described their financial situation as “not comfortable.” Another 21 percent of spouses reported they had experienced some financial difficulty. Although the survey did not address the issue directly, there is evidence that military families’ financial stress sometimes leads to food insecurity:
• Food pantries operate on or near virtually every military installation – four near Camp Pendleton alone;
• The demand for low- or no-interest loans or grants from the Service relief societies. For example, in 2019 the Navy-Marine Corps Relief Society provided more than $17 million to assist service members and families with basic living expenses such as food and rent.\textsuperscript{10}
• DeCA reports nearly $55 million in Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits were spent in military commissaries in FY17.

Our Association has argued that military families should be able to benefit from the same social safety net programs that support their civilian neighbors and friends. There should be no shame or stigma in accepting assistance to ensure a service member is able to put healthy food on the table. Our concern, rather, is for military families who may be falling through the cracks, either because they are not aware of programs that could assist them, or they fall just over income eligibility thresholds.

One example of this issue is military families’ eligibility for SNAP benefits. SNAP is designed to support families whose incomes put them below 130 percent of the federal poverty level. (Some states set a higher threshold – they may go up to 185 percent of the federal poverty level.) However, because the military Basic Allowance for Housing (BAH) is included when determining SNAP eligibility, it’s rare for military families to qualify. Paradoxically, families stationed in high cost of living areas are most affected by this barrier – their higher BAH prevents them from qualifying for SNAP, but the high cost of everything from food to utilities and transportation puts them under great financial strain.

We appreciate Congress’ requirement for DoD to conduct a report on food insecurity among military families. However, we remain most concerned about the families who fall through the cracks and don’t qualify for needed assistance through SNAP due to the inclusion of BAH in determining eligibility. These families are left to make ends meet by utilizing food pantries and food distribution facilities on or around their installation. We implore DoD to consider the severity of this issue and consider how food insecurity affects the well-being and retention of junior-ranking service members and their families.

In the past, Congress and DoD have acted to address the issue of military family financial stress and food insecurity. For example, in the late 1990s Congress authorized the Family Supplemental Subsistence Allowance (FSSA), which was designed to assist families whose income and household size put them below 130 percent of the federal poverty level. However, few families participated in this program and in 2016 it ended domestically at the recommendation of the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission (MCRMC), which noted that most eligible families would be better off participating in SNAP.

While we agree with the MCRMC that SNAP is in many ways a more valuable and effective program to assist military families struggling with food insecurity, the fact remains that many families in need are unable to access it due to the inclusion of BAH. For that reason, we ask Congress to institute a basic needs allowance with key elements to make it effective for military families:

\textsuperscript{10}Navy-Marine Corps Relief Society 2019 Year in Review Infographic. \url{https://www.nmcrs.org/page/-/Infographic_2019_Financials_14FEB20v2.pdf}
• Set eligibility at 130 percent of the federal poverty guidelines, based on income and number of dependents;
• Exclude BAH when determining eligibility;
• Using data from the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) and Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS), automatically notify service members of their potential eligibility for the basic needs allowance. (Under a similar program, service members had to apply for assistance under the FSSA program through their chain of command, which could be a barrier to participation.) Service members could then provide information about other sources of income to confirm their eligibility.

We would also like to draw attention to the Women, Infants and Children (WIC) program, which supports families with pregnant or nursing mothers and young children up to age five. WIC offers support in the form of vouchers or electronic benefits cards that may be used to purchase foods such as formula, baby food, eggs, peanut butter, bread, milk and fruits and vegetables. Participants in the program also receive nutritional counseling and breastfeeding support. Given the young demographics of the military family community and the fact that the largest cohort of military children (over 42 percent) is under age six, this program in many respects is tailor-made to support military families. In addition, because BAH is not counted in determining WIC eligibility, it is much easier for military families to qualify – in fact, nearly every E-6 or below with one or more children could potentially qualify, assuming there is no additional household income.

While we were unable to find statistics on the usage of WIC among military families, we are certain many more families potentially qualify than currently take advantage of this valuable program, which is unfortunate. We would like to see DoD take steps to raise awareness of WIC among young military families. One easy step would be to require pediatricians in Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs) to screen patients for food insecurity and provide information about applying for WIC.

The majority of military families may never face food insecurity, and for those who do it is often a short-term problem that is resolved through promotion. However, we firmly believe no military family should ever struggle to put food on the table, especially when programs exist that can provide support. Raising awareness of WIC and instituting a basic needs allowance would provide much needed support to the youngest, most vulnerable military families.

**Military Children’s Education**

Like most parents, military families care deeply about the quality of their children’s education. They also worry about the effect that the military lifestyle has on their children’s education – specifically, the frequent military-ordered moves. Typically, military families move every two to three years, so a military-connected child can expect to attend six or more schools before their senior year of high school.

The Interstate Compact on Educational Opportunity for Military Children, which has been adopted by all 50 states and the District of Columbia, as well as the Department of Defense Education Activity (DoDEA), addresses many of the most common transition-related challenges faced by military-connected children moving to new schools. In addition, the widespread adoption of Common Core or similar standards means that military children are more likely to find familiar curricula and academic standards in their new schools. Together, these two developments help provide today’s military children with smoother transitions and a more consistent academic
experience than previous generations. Still, the fact remains local public schools are locally controlled – and financed – so policies, resources, and requirements vary from state-to-state and even district-to-district. Understandably, this is a source of stress for military families, who want their children to have the best possible education.

In February 2018, the Secretaries of the Army, Navy and Air Force sent a letter to the National Governor’s Association affirming the importance of education to military families and calling on governors to ensure military-connected children in their state receive the best possible education. We commend the Secretaries for highlighting the importance of education and agree states and districts should set policies and allocate resources to support military children and provide them with a high-quality education. However, we believe the federal government has a role to play as well.

Districts serving large numbers of military children rely on Impact Aid funding from the Department of Education and the Department of Defense to help offset the additional expenses they incur, as well as compensate for lost property tax revenue when a district includes federal property such as a military installation. It is incumbent on DoD and the federal government to ensure schools charged with serving military-connected children have the support they need to provide the best possible education. We are grateful to Congress for authorizing $50 million for DoD Impact Aid and $20 million in Impact Aid for schools serving military children with severe disabilities in the FY20 NDAA. We ask Congress to increase this funding to offset the costs incurred by districts educating large numbers of military children.

We continue to be concerned about the financial burden posed on school districts educating large numbers of military children with special needs. We wholeheartedly support sending military families with special needs family members to locations where their medical and educational needs can be met. However, in practice, this has led to concentrations of special needs military families in locations such as Joint Base Lewis-McCord, where a large MTF and other specialized services are available. While the ready availability of services through the military and local civilian community benefits the special needs military families, we are concerned about the unintended burden on the school districts serving these installations, which must provide special education services. Serving unusually large numbers of children with severe special needs places great strain on the budgets of these school districts. We fear that in the long term this financial pressure will affect the quality of the education services these districts are able to provide. We ask Congress to require DoD to study where military families with severe special needs are concentrated and whether DoD Impact Aid for schools serving military children with special needs is appropriately allocated.

**Spouse Employment and Education Support**

Spouse employment and education support is a critical component of military family readiness. Much like their civilian counterparts, many military families rely on two incomes in order to help make ends meet. However, military spouses face barriers hindering their educational pursuits and career progression due in large part to challenges associated with the military lifestyle.

We are gratified in recent years Congress, DoD, the White House, and individual states have all taken steps to lessen the burden of an active duty member’s military career on military spouses’ educational and career ambitions. We fully support these initiatives, including DoD’s portfolio of Spouse Education and Career Opportunities (SECO), which provides educational funding for select
military spouses, career counseling, employment support, and the DoD DSLO state-level initiatives. We are encouraged to see the recent expansions to DoD’s My Career Advancement Account (MyCAA), which now includes spouses of eligible service members in the Coast Guard as well as expansion to program coverage of all associates degrees. We also appreciate recent legislative action that would encourage the creation of more occupational interstate compacts to ease the burden on military spouses employed in an occupation that requires a license or certification.

We appreciate that Congress recognizes the difficulty military spouses have in moving their careers from state-to-state by increasing the reimbursement for re-licensing and re-certification up to $1,000 because of a PCS. We are gratified that due to the Service’s 18-month lag in implementation of the reimbursement pilot program, Congress extended the program by two years giving more time to understand the extent of the need for the reimbursement and honor the intent of Congress for a five-year program.

While progress has been made in certain areas, the military spouse unemployment rate remains stagnant at 24 percent and military spouses continue to face significantly lower earnings as well as higher levels of unemployment and underemployment than their civilian counterparts, greatly impacting their families’ financial stability. 11

Grow Our Own
As military families struggle to cope with the effects of 18 years of war, we are seeing an increasing demand for mental health services within our families and community. Unfortunately, access to high quality care is limited. The shortage of mental health professionals nationally is mirrored in the military community; it is even greater at military installations in remote areas. We believe our nation has an obligation to prevent, diagnose, and treat the mental health needs of service members and their families. Doing so, in the face of a nationwide shortage of mental health professionals, will require innovative solutions and strategic public-private partnerships including Congress, DoD, the VA, and other organizations.

One of our Association’s top priorities is to ensure adequate access to mental and behavioral health providers who are attuned to the unique stressors of military life for service members and their families who have endured years of repeated deployments, long separations, and possible injuries or illnesses. We support efforts to educate and employ military spouses as professionals in these fields.

Since 2004, NMFA’s military spouse scholarship and professional funds program has had over 100,000 applicants and awarded over $5 million in funds.

Many of our military spouses pursuing careers in mental health fields intend to serve military families. Helping these spouses overcome obstacles and pursue their careers has the dual benefit of assisting the individual spouse and family while addressing the shortage of mental health providers in the military community. However, these spouses face obstacles due to the unique challenges of the military lifestyle. One scholarship recipient wrote:

---

I will do whatever it takes to become a military psychiatrist. Therefore, I’d like for you to keep in mind that you are not only helping me through this kind-hearted gesture, but you are also helping the future men and women that will serve this country.

One of the many challenges which these spouses highlight is that of obtaining supervision hours—not only the sheer number of hours spread over years that are likely to be interrupted by a PCS, but also the cost associated with accumulating hours. The number of spouses seeking scholarship funds for supervision hours has risen by 62 percent from 2018 to 2019. One scholarship applicant writes, “The cost of each individual hour is $70. With this financial support I would be able to accumulate hours at a faster pace.” Just this month a spouse posted on our Association’s Mental Health Professionals Network page about her experience transferring supervision hours from one state to another:

As we all know, each state is different with number of hours and work locations they will approve. I started my hours in MO and had a PCS to Texas with less than 6 months left. Texas would not accept clinical hours from another state unless already licensed as an LCSW. After working with the MO social work board, they approved me to continue supervision telephonically and via video with my Missouri supervisor. They also approved my employer in TX and counted the hours I worked towards the remainder I needed for Missouri. Then I applied to test for MO but was able to take the exam in TX.

In recognizing this financial strain, we offer scholarship funds to be used toward supervision hours in addition to licensure and certification costs. We urge Congress to expand the MyCAA program to allow funds to be used toward obtaining supervision hours.

We are thrilled with the recent announcement that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is now supervising employees pursuing a career in mental health such as psychologists, social workers, professional mental health counselors, and marriage and family therapists. We encourage other federal entities, such as DoD, to explore ways to provide supervision to employees pursuing careers in the mental health field.

**Federal Student Loan Forgiveness**

Federal student loan forgiveness and repayment programs provide incentive for those who wish to give back to their community. With the well documented shortage of mental health providers, both nationally and within the military community, we believe adding mental health professions to the federal student loan forgiveness program would provide an incentive to spur growth in these fields.

We urge Congress to enhance federal student loan forgiveness programs and protect against any attempts to degrade programs in place.

We offer the following recommendations for Congress to consider:

- Facilitate easier paths to both licensure and employment for military spouses and veterans in the mental health field when they work with service members and families;
- Pass legislation to allow military spouses full reciprocity when transferring an active unrestricted mental or behavioral health license from one state to another due to PCS;
- Support partnerships between the Military Health System and the VA to ease spouse difficulties in obtaining clinical supervision hours, reduce licensing barriers, and spur employment of military spouses and veterans in the mental health field; and
• Expand the MyCAA program to include the coverage of supervision hours and increase rank eligibility to E-6 and O-3.

**Military Families in Crisis**

Our country is still at war and military families continue to live extraordinarily challenging lives. Reintegration continues to pose challenges for some. Others are anxious about their financial futures. Most military families are resilient and will successfully address whatever challenges come their way. However, some will need help. It is critical military families trust DoD services and programs and feel comfortable turning to them in times of need. These programs and services must be staffed and resourced adequately so when families reach out for help, they can trust it is available. Military families must be assured our nation will support them in times of family or personal crisis.

**Suicide**

Five years after Congress mandated DoD track military family member suicides, DoD finally released data – one year of data. Unfortunately, one year of data does not accurately portray what families have experienced over 18 years of war. Nor does that data provide any insight into the prevalence, and trend, of military family member suicide.

Our Association continues to be concerned about the impact of 18 years of war on military families. Without timely and accurate information, it is impossible to understand the extent of the issue and targeting solution is very difficult.

**Preventing Child Abuse and Neglect, and Domestic Violence**

Research commissioned by our Association\(^\text{12}\) and others during the past decade documents the toll of multiple deployments on children and families, the difficulties many families face on the service member’s return, and the added strain a service member’s physical and invisible wounds can place on a family. These stressors put military families at risk for marital/relationship problems and compromised parenting that must be addressed with preventative programs.

Those looking for budget cuts may find it tempting to slash family support, family advocacy, and reintegration programs. However, bringing the troops home from war zones does not end our military’s mission, family separations, or the necessity to support military families. “Rotations” and “training exercises” of units to Europe and elsewhere must be accompanied by the same high levels of family support as if service members were heading on a combat deployment. To family members, especially young children, “gone is gone”.

We are concerned the extraordinary stress military families face could lead to increased domestic violence as well. Preventive programs focused on effective parenting and rebuilding adult relationships are essential. The government should ensure military families have the tools to remain ready and to support the readiness of their service members.

We are encouraged the Family Advocacy Program, a Congressionally mandated DoD program designed to prevent and respond to child abuse/neglect and domestic abuse in military families,

---

\(^{12}\) Anita Chandra, et al., RAND Center for Military Health Policy Research, Views from the Homefront: The Experiences of Youth and Spouses from Military Families, 2011
has redoubled its focus on prevention programs. Their efforts to repair relationships and strengthen family function will be essential. Programs like New Parent Support focus on helping young parents build strong parenting skills early on.

*We encourage Congress and the DoD to ensure Family Advocacy programs are funded and resourced appropriately to help families heal and aid in the prevention of child and domestic abuse.*

**SURVIVING FAMILIES**

After almost two decades of advocacy, we are gratified Congress finally eliminated the Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP) offset to fund the Dependency and Indemnity Compensation (DIC). When the Social Security offset to the SBP was eliminated in the FY05 NDAA, Congress provided for a one-year open enrollment for those who opted to not select SBP upon retirement. Since 1980, there have been six open enrollment opportunities.

*We ask Congress to authorize an open enrollment period for the Survivor Benefit Plan once again.*

**Access to Electronic Medical Appointing, Referrals and Prescription Refills**

In addition to losing financial benefits, ID cards and TRICARE for themselves, remarried surviving military spouses lose access to the TRICARE Beneficiary Self-Service Account that allows them to access referrals and check the status of referrals for their TRICARE-eligible children. Instead, referrals must be physically mailed and, in many cases, delaying treatment. In addition, they no longer have access to secure messaging with their children’s health care providers which facilitates communication, prescription refills and appointments online. Minor children do not have their own accounts, it’s under their parents’ account. If the parent is no longer in the system under TRICARE the remaining parent cannot access the electronic means for referrals, prescription refills and online appointments.

“I truly just want electronic access to her referrals so I can set up appointments for specialty doctors and equipment like her insulin pump and continuous glucose monitor (both of which took over a month to get a paper copy to send and get these lifesaving things ordered even though the referral was approved in days). That should not be too much to ask as I am their ONLY living parent. It shouldn’t matter if I’m remarried or not, I am still the ONLY living parent that these children have left.”

- Kaanan, remarried surviving spouse

*We ask Congress to require DoD to modify their systems so remarried surviving spouses can access the online systems to manage their children’s appointments, referrals, prescription refills and communication with their medical providers.*
MILITARY FAMILIES – CONTINUING TO SERVE

Recent national fiscal challenges have left military families uncertain, confused and concerned regarding the availability of the programs, resources, and benefits contributing to their strength, resilience, and readiness. Further, families are concerned if those resources will evolve to address emerging needs. The Department of Defense must provide the level of programs and resources to meet these needs. A recent study by the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine, *Strengthening the Military Family Readiness System for a Changing American Society* (2019), called on the Department to employ a more coordinated and comprehensive approach to matching the needs of individual families to available programs. Service members and their families have kept trust with America, through 18 years of war, with multiple deployments and separations. We ask the nation to keep the trust with military families and not try to balance budget shortfalls from the pockets of those who serve.

Evolving world conflicts continue to keep our service members on call. Our military families continue to answer this call as well, even as they are dealing with the long-term effects of almost two decades at war. The government should ensure military families have the resources to remain ready. Effective support for military families must involve a broad network of federal, state and local government agencies, community groups, businesses, and concerned citizens. Our Nation must continue to fund what works to support military families, and, above all, value their service.